

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/703,723	11/02/2000	Srithar Ramesh	219.39043X00	2135
20457	7590 10/29/2002			
ANTONELLI TERRY STOUT AND KRAUS SUITE 1800 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET ARLINGTON, VA 22209			EXAMINER	
			BETTENDORF, JUSTIN P	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2817	

DATE MAILED: 10/29/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action	,	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner  Justin P. Bettendorf  2817  -The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - THE REPLY FILED 11 October 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a fine there are all on the proper former than the action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a former former and advance; (2) a timely filed Merch 114.  PERIOD FOR REPLY (check either a) or b)    Discount of the period of reply express _months from the realing date of the final repection. The period for reply express on (1) the mailing date of the final repection. The period for reply express on (1) the mailing date of the final repection. ONLY CHECK THIS 30X WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FIRAL REJECTION. See MEP or express on the properties of the final repection of the final repection of the final repection. ONLY CHECK THIS 30X WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FIRAL REJECTION. See MEP or the final repection of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension for how the period of the final repection and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension for how the final repection of time may be obtained and determining the period of extensions and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension for how the feet of the final repection of the fin	Advisory Action	09/703,723	RAMESH, SRITHAR	
THE REPLY FILED 11 October 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continue Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.  **PERIOD FOR REPLY** [check either a) or bi]  a) The period for reply expires of the mailing date of the final rejection.  b) The period for reply expires of the mailing date of the final rejection, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In revert, however, with resultancy period for reply expires the This SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection, only. CHECK THIS 30X WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 1.00 (1) to the replace of the new the scalar during of the new to the scalar during of the new the scalar during of the new to the scalar during of the new the scalar during of the new to the scalar during of the new the new the new t	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Examiner	Art Unit	
THE REPLY FILED 11 October 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either. (1) a timely filled amendment within places the application in condition for allowance. (2) a timely filled Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee), or (3) a timely filled Request for Continue Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.    PERIOD FOR REPLY (check either a) or b)			1 1	
Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandoment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.13 may only be either. (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continue Examination (RCE) in compliance with a 7 CFR 1.134.  PERIOD FOR REPLY (check either a) or b)    The period for reply expires d_months from the mailing date of the final rejection. (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In revert, nowever, will the statutory period for reply expire a feet from the mailing date of the final rejection. On CHECK T-163 SOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WASHON FLED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OT THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the patition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension feet may be considered in the date for purposes of determining the period of sensions and the corresponding amount of the feet. The appropriate extension feet may comply a feet on the feet of the sensions of the considered control feet in the control of the sensions. (2) as set forth (3) above, it forecoded. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final origication, even if timely filed, may reduce a searned patient term edulation.  1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.  2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:  (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (c) The proposed amendment (s) and the proposed amendment (s) and proposed the proposed control of the search of the search of the search of	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
a)  The period for reply expires of _months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b)  The period for reply expires of _1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In revent, however, with estatutory period for reply expire later than SX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.	Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appli 1) a timely filed amendment wh al (with appeal fee); or (3) a tim	cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in	ıed
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection. No. No. No. No. No. No. No. (1) the saltutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(s). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(s) and the appropriate extension fee the nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee un 37 CFR 1.176(s) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortned statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action, or (2) as set fort (1) shows, it checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce a certific patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.794(b).  1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on		- · · · · ·		
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the malling both of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REFLY WAS FILED WITHIN TOW MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07().  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee under the state for purposes of determining the period of extensions and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under the petition of the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee under the petition of the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee under the petition of the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee under 38 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee under 38 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee under 38 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee under 38 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee under 38 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee under 38 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee under 38 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee under 38 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee under 38 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee under 39 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee under 39 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee under 39 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee under 39 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee under 39 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee under 39 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension feet under 39 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension feet under 39 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension feet under 39 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension feet under 39 CFR				
raive been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee un 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set oftic (6) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailling date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce a serined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  1.	event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	of the final rejection.  E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP	
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.  2. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:  (a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);  (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying issues for appeal; and/or  (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.  3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendme canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) ( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo	sion and the corresponding amount of the	e fee. The appropriate extension fee up	under
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);  (c) ☒ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying issues for appeal; and/or  (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet  3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendme canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  7. ☒ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☒ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  Justin P. Bettendorf	1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	s Brief must be filed within the $_{ m I}$ R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	period set forth in of the appeal.	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);  (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying issues for appeal; and/or  (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.  3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendme canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  7. ☒ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☒ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:	2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:		
(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying issues for appeal; and/or  (d)  they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.  3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendme canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1-24.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.  9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  Justin P. Bettendorf	(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
issues for appeal; and/or  (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.  3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  7. ☒ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☒ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1-24.  Claim(s) rejected: 1-24.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  Justin P. Bettendorf	(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note in	pelow);		
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.  3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1-24.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.  9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  Justin P. Bettendorf	(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying	g th
3.	(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1-24.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.  9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).  Justin P. Bettendorf	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1-24.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.  9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).  Justin P. Bettendorf	3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):		
application in condition for allowance because:  6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1-24.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.  9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  Justin P. Bettendorf	4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendm	nent
raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1-24.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.  9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).  Justin P. Bettendorf	5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because:	r reconsideration has been cons	sidered but does NOT place th	.he
explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1-24.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.  9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).  Justin P. Bettendorf	6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly	
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-24. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  8.	7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a) $oxtimes$ will not be entered or bould be rejected is provided belo	)⊡ will be entered and an ow or appended.	
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-24. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.  9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  10. Other:	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-24.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.  9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  10. Other:	Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.  9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  10. Other:	Claim(s) objected to:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.  9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  10. Other:	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-24</u> .			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).  10. Other:  Justin P. Bettendorf				
10. Other:	8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	proved by the Examiner.	
Justin P. Bettendorf	9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
Art Unit: 2817	10. Other:		Primary Examiner	

## Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 09/703,723

6

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The "new matter" precluded a prior art rejection of the claims, especially the added claims 17-24 in paper no. 6 which require further consideration because these claims were not rejected under prior art. Also, further consideration is required for "low" ESR recited in the claims.